



## I. Introduction & Background

The following information is a summary report of the academic integrity cases received during the 2018 - 2019 academic year. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy and completeness of this data, it is important to note that there may be some errors or inconsistencies. These could arise from various factors such as data entry errors, reporting discrepancies, or updates to case statuses after the data was compiled. We recommend interpreting the findings with these potential limitations in mind. We are continually working to improve our data collection and reporting processes to provide the most accurate and up-to-date information possible. For additional information on data collection and categorization see the Glossary on the AIO website.

| Total Reports Received Over the Past 5 Years      | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18  | 2018-19  |        |
|---|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|--------|
|   | 734     | 921     | 825     | 845      | 1041     |        |
| Total Reports Received by Quarter for 2018 - 2019 | Fall    | Winter  | Spring  | Summer 1 | Summer 2 | Other* |
|   | 285     | 382     | 344     | 9        | 21       | 0      |

## II. Sanctioning Guidelines

The Administrative Sanctioning Guidelines aim to establish a structured, consistent, and transparent approach to decision-making, emphasizing desired behaviors and allowing for professional judgment. Disciplinary actions are based on a point value system derived from objective criteria. The focus is to provide an objective baseline for disciplinary actions and counsel students on the importance of academic integrity and the escalating severity of violations throughout their academic and professional progression.

| Violation History | Fall | Winter | Spring | Summer 1 | Summer 2 | Other* | %   |
|-------------------|------|--------|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----|
| 1st               | 261  | 340    | 312    | 8        | 15       | 0      | 90% |
| 2nd               | 24   | 35     | 30     | 1        | 4        | 0      | 9%  |
| 3rd+              | 0    | 7      | 2      | 0        | 2        | 0      | 1%  |

| Violation Type | Fall | Winter | Spring | Summer 1 | Summer 2 | Other* | %   |
|----------------|------|--------|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----|
| Minor          | 95   | 186    | 187    | 4        | 2        | 0      | 46% |
| Moderate       | 123  | 145    | 96     | 2        | 13       | 0      | 36% |
| Serious        | 49   | 36     | 45     | 1        | 3        | 0      | 13% |
| Egregious      | 18   | 15     | 16     | 2        | 3        | 0      | 5%  |

| Most Common Specific Violation Types: Minor | Total | %   |
|---|-------|-----|
| HW/Assignment Misconduct                    | 359   | 76% |
| Limited Plagiarism                          | 91    | 13% |

| Most Common Specific Violation Types: Moderate | Total | %   |
|--|-------|-----|
| Extensive Plagiarism                           | 352   | 93% |
| Exam (<20%)                                    | 26    | 7%  |

| Most Common Specific Violation Types: Serious | Total | %   |
|---|-------|-----|
| Exam (>20%)                                   | 126   | 94% |

| Most Common Specific Violation Types: Egregious | Total | %   |
|---|-------|-----|
| Contract cheating                               | 26    | 48% |
| Fraud   | 19    | 35% |

| Student Academic Level | Fall | Winter | Spring | Summer 1 | Summer 2 | Other* | %   |
|------------------------|------|--------|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----|
| Undergraduate          | 275  | 336    | 310    | 9        | 20       | 0      | 91% |
| Masters                | 9    | 39     | 32     | 0        | 1        | 0      | 8%  |
| Ph.D.                  | 1    | 7      | 2      | 0        | 0        | 0      | 1%  |

| Proposed Disciplinary Action | Fall | Winter | Spring | Summer 1 | Summer 2 | Other* | %   |
|------------------------------|------|--------|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----|
| Warning                      | 7    | 1      | 3      | 0        | 0        | 0      | 1%  |
| Disciplinary Probation       | 166  | 256    | 217    | 4        | 4        | 0      | 62% |
| Quarter Suspension           | 84   | 104    | 101    | 3        | 12       | 0      | 29% |
| Year Suspension              | 25   | 19     | 22     | 2        | 3        | 0      | 7%  |
| Dismissal                    | 3    | 2      | 1      | 0        | 2        | 0      | 1%  |

### III. Resolution Meetings & Outcomes of Academic Integrity Allegations

Once a student has been reported to the AIO, the case is assigned to a Resolution Appropriate Administrative Authority (Resolution AAA) who notifies the student to schedule a Resolution Meeting. At this meeting, there are three (3) possible outcomes:

1. the student accepts responsibility or fails to schedule their meeting and is assumed to have accepted responsibility;
2. the student does not accept responsibility but the evidence is sufficient, so the case proceeds to the [Academic Integrity Review](#) process. In this situation, it will be the AI Review Panel who will make a decision of the case, either holding the student responsible for an academic integrity violation or not;
3. the student does not accept responsibility and presents some relevant evidence that the Resolution AAA shares with the Instructor who subsequently withdraws the allegation.

| Resolution AAA Type | College Dean of Student Affairs | Assistant Dean, GEPA | Extension | Summer |
|---------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|--------|
|                     | 947 (91%)                       | 88 (8%)              | 5         | 1      |

| Overall Resolution Outcome |     | %   | Total Cases by Assigned Resolution AAA Type |                          | %   |     |  |  |
|----------------------------|-----|-----|---|--------------------------|-----|-----|--|--|
| Responsible                | 880 | 85% | Informal Resolution                         | Accepted Responsibility  | 807 | 78% |  |  |
|                            |     |     |   | Failure to Respond       | 37  | 4%  |  |  |
| Not Responsible            | 161 | 15% | Formal Resolution                           | Allegation Withdrawn     | 114 | 11% |  |  |
|                            |     |     |   | Contested the Allegation | 120 | 12% |  |  |
|                            |     |     |   | Held Responsible         | 73  | 7%  |  |  |
|                            |     |     |   | Held Not Responsible     | 47  | 5%  |  |  |

| Assigned Disciplinary Action | Fall | Winter | Spring | Summer 1 | Summer 2 | Other* | %   |
|------------------------------|------|--------|--------|----------|----------|--------|-----|
| None                         | 1    | 3      | 3      | 0        | 0        | 0      | 1%  |
| Warning                      | 19   | 15     | 8      | 0        | 1        | 0      | 4%  |
| Disciplinary Probation       | 163  | 228    | 211    | 6        | 7        | 0      | 59% |
| Deferred Suspension w/ IMP   | 20   | 10     | 26     | 0        | 5        | 0      | 6%  |
| Quarter Suspension (1)       | 42   | 36     | 31     | 1        | 4        | 0      | 11% |
| Quarter Suspension (2)       | 1    | 1      | 1      | 0        | 1        | 0      | 0%  |
| Year Suspension (1)          | 4    | 6      | 8      | 1        | 1        | 0      | 2%  |
| Year Suspension (2)          | 3    | 0      | 0      | 0        | 0        | 0      | 0%  |
| Dismissal                    | 0    | 1      | 1      | 0        | 1        | 0      | 0%  |
| Concurrent                   | 3    | 1      | 6      | 0        | 0        | 0      | 1%  |

| Business Days to Resolve | Average | Min | Max |
|--------------------------|---------|-----|-----|
| Accepted Responsibility  | 24      | 5   | 257 |
| Failure to Respond       | 49      | 16  | 119 |
| Allegation Withdrawn     | 28      | 2   | 134 |
| AI Review Board          | 125     | 16  | 363 |