



I. Introduction & Background

The following information is a summary report of the academic integrity cases received during the 2023 - 2024 academic year. While every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy and completeness of this data, it is important to note that there may be some errors or inconsistencies. These could arise from various factors such as data entry errors, reporting discrepancies, or updates to case statuses after the data was compiled. We recommend interpreting the findings with these potential limitations in mind. We are continually working to improve our data collection and reporting processes to provide the most accurate and up-to-date information possible. For additional information on data collection and categorization see the Glossary on the AIO website.

Total Reports Received Over the Past 5 Years	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23	2023-24	
	1886	1719	1539	1028	1381	
Total Reports Received by Quarter for 2023 - 2024	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*
	307	388	348	50	31	7

II. Sanctioning Guidelines

The Administrative Sanctioning Guidelines aim to establish a structured, consistent, and transparent approach to decision-making, emphasizing desired behaviors and allowing for professional judgment. Disciplinary actions are based on a point value system derived from objective criteria. The focus is to provide an objective baseline for disciplinary actions and counsel students on the importance of academic integrity and the escalating severity of violations throughout their academic and professional progression.

Violation History	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
1st	281	361	302	41	27	8	90%
2nd	21	27	44	7	1	1	9%
3rd+	5	0	2	2	1	0	1%

Violation Type	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Minor	106	131	96	10	4	4	14%
Moderate	61	114	95	2	9	1	31%
Serious	88	93	119	25	8	1	25%
Egregious	52	50	38	13	8	3	30%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Minor	Total	%
HW/Assignment Misconduct	181	13%
Limited Plagiarism	59	4%
Self-Plagiarism	38	3%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Moderate	Total	%
Minor on Multi Assessments (HW/Assignment)	130	9%
Extensive Plagiarism	48	3%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Serious	Total	%
Exam (>10%)	217	16%
Minor on Multiple Assessments (Low-Stakes Test <10%)	58	4%

Most Common Specific Violation Types: Egregious	Total	%
Contract Cheating (Artificial Intelligence)	98	7%
Contract Cheating	19	1%

Student Academic Level	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Undergraduate	258	348	287	50	29	8	87%
Masters	49	35	60	0	0	0	13%
Ph.D.	0	5	1	0	0	1	1%

Proposed Disciplinary Action	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Warning	81	115	58	9	4	4	27%
Disciplinary Probation	58	110	83	1	9	0	2%
Deferred Suspension w/ IMP	80	69	124	19	7	1	23%
Quarter Suspension	68	70	42	13	7	3	18%
Year Suspension	9	18	34	6	1	0	24%
Dismissal	11	6	7	2	1	1	6%

III. Resolution Meetings & Outcomes of Academic Integrity Allegations

Except in the event of an Instructor-Student Resolution, once a student has been reported to the AIO, the case is assigned to a Resolution Appropriate Administrative Authority (Resolution AAA) who notifies the student to schedule a Resolution Meeting. At this meeting, there are three (3) possible outcomes:

1. the student accepts responsibility or fails to schedule their meeting and is assumed to have accepted responsibility;
2. the student does not accept responsibility but the evidence is sufficient, so the case proceeds to the [Academic Integrity Review](#) process. In this situation, it will be the AI Review Panel who will make a decision of the case, either holding the student responsible for an academic integrity violation or not;
3. the student does not accept responsibility and presents some relevant evidence that the Resolution AAA shares with the Instructor who subsequently withdraws the allegation.

Resolution AAA Type	College Dean of Student Affairs	College Student Care & Accountability Specialists	Assistant Dean, GEPA	Academic Integrity Office
	246 (22%)	19 (2%)	0 (0%)	850 (76%)

Overall Resolution Outcome			%	Total Cases by Assigned Resolution AAA Type			%	
Responsible	139	12%	Informal Resolution	Accepted Responsibility	880	78%		
				<i>I-S Resolution</i>	58	7%		
Not Responsible	977	86%		<i>Failure to Respond</i>	53	6%		
				Allegation Withdrawn	107	9%		
				Contested the Allegation	144	13%		
				<i>Held Responsible</i>	90	8%		
				<i>Held Not Responsible</i>	39	3%		

Assigned Disciplinary Action	Fall	Winter	Spring	Summer 1	Summer 2	Other*	%
Warning	112	184	126	10	13	3	46%
Disciplinary Probation	64	70	119	13	3	1	28%
Deferred Suspension w/ IMP	65	65	28	11	1	1	18%
Quarter Suspension	13	12	24	4	1	2	6%
Year Suspension	6	5	4	3	0	0	2%
Dismissal	2	0	0	0	0	1	0%

Business Days to Resolve	Average	Min	Max
Accepted Responsibility	14	1	53
Failure to Respond	25	13	74
Allegation Withdrawn	23	2	37
AI Review Board	87	32	178
<i>AIR I</i>	78	43	130
<i>AIR II</i>	91	32	178

IV. Appeals

During the AI process, students may be eligible for three types of appeals: (1) AI Review Board Decision; (2) Administrative Sanction (if suspension or dismissal is assigned); (3) Failure to Respond. Students must meet specific criteria to be eligible for each appeal type and only one appeal may be submitted per type. Once a decision has been made, the outcome is final. Learn more about [appeals on the AIO website](#).

Total Appeals Received by Type	AIRB Appeal	Sanction Appeal	Failure to Respond
	18	55	20

AI Review Board Appeal Outcomes

Type	AIR Decision Upheld	%	New AIR Granted	%	No Appeal Received	%
AIR I	3	9%	0	0%	30	91%
AIR II	15	25%	0	0%	44	75%

Sanction Appeal Outcomes

Type	Denied (No Change)	%	Reduced	%	Qtr Change	%	No Appeal Received	%
Deferred Susp w/ IMP	7	4%	0	0%	0	0%	157	96%
Quarter Suspension	29	18%	10	6%	3	2%	21	13%
Year Suspension	4	2%	1	1%	0	0%	12	7%
Dismissal	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%

Failure to Respond Appeal Outcomes

Denied	%	Granted	%	No Appeal Received	%
13	22%	7	12%	39	66%