

DP color functions versus chromatic polynomials for hypergraphs (I)

Ruiyi Cui¹, Liangxia Wan^{*1}, and Fengming Dong^{†2}

¹School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing 100044, China

²National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Abstract

For a hypergraph \mathcal{H} , the DP color function $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k)$ of \mathcal{H} is an extension of the chromatic polynomial $P(\mathcal{H}, k)$ with the property that $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) \leq P(\mathcal{H}, k)$ for all positive integers k . In this article, we primarily investigate the influence of the minimum cycle length on the DP-coloring function, as well as the relevant properties of the DP-coloring function of the join $\mathcal{H} \vee K_p$ of \mathcal{H} and K_p . We show that for any linear and uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} with even girth, there exists a positive integer N such that $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) < P(\mathcal{H}, k)$ for all integers $k \geq N$, and this conclusion also holds for any hypergraph \mathcal{H} that contains an edge e with the properties that $\mathcal{H} - e$ has exactly $|e| - 1$ components and any shortest cycle in \mathcal{H} containing e is an even cycle. For the hypergraph $\mathcal{H} \vee K_p$, we prove that if \mathcal{H} is uniform, then there exist positive integers p and N such that $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H} \vee K_p, k) = P(\mathcal{H} \vee K_p, k)$ holds for all integers $k \geq N$.

1 Introduction

For any graph G and a positive integer k , a proper k -coloring of G is a way of assigning a set of k colors to vertices in G such that no two adjacent vertices share the same color. In the 1970s, the vertex coloring was independently generalized to the list coloring by Vizing [19] and Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor [9], respectively. Later, in 2015, Dvořák and Postle [8] generalized the list coloring to the DP-coloring (also called correspondence coloring) for proving the result that every planar graph without cycles of length 4 to 8 is 3-choosable. The DP-coloring has been extensively studied [1, 6, 12, 14, 15, 16].

Let \mathbb{N} denote the set of positive integers and let $\llbracket k \rrbracket$ denote the set $\{i \in \mathbb{N} : i \leq k\}$. In 1912, Birkhoff [3] introduced the chromatic polynomial $P(G, k)$, which counts the

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: lxwan@bjtu.edu.cn.

[†]Email: fengming.dong@nie.edu.sg and donggraph@163.com.

number of k -proper colorings of G for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, for the purpose of proving the four-color conjecture. Kostochka and Sidorenko [13] extended $P(G, k)$ to the list color function $P_l(G, k)$ in 1992. Some results on the list color functions were provided by Thomassen [18]. In 2021, Kaul and Mudrock [12] further extended $P_l(G, k)$ to the DP color function $P_{DP}(G, k)$ of G .

Obviously, $P_l(G, k) \leq P(G, k)$ holds for any graph G and $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It has been shown that for any graph G of size m , $P_l(G, k) = P(G, k)$ holds for all integers $k \geq m - 1$ (see [7]). However, there is no such a conclusion on the relation between the DP color function of a graph G and its chromatic polynomial. Kaul and Mudrock [12] proved that if G is a graph with even girth, then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_{DP}(G, k) < P(G, k)$ whenever $k \geq N$. An edge e in a connected graph G is called a bridge of G if $G - e$ is disconnected. For each edge e in G , let $\ell(e) = \infty$ if e is a bridge of G , and let $\ell(e)$ denote the length of a shortest cycle in G containing e otherwise. Dong and Yang [6] show that if $\ell(e)$ is even for some $e \in E(G)$, then there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_{DP}(G) < P(G)$ for all $k \geq N$. Mudrock and Thomason [17] showed that for any graph G , there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_{DP}(G \vee K_1, k) = P_{DP}(G, k)$ for all $k \geq N$, where $G \vee K_1$ is the graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex w and adding new edges joining w to all vertices in G . Their result answered a problem posed by Kaul and Mudrock [12]. All these results have been further extended by Zhang and Dong [21].

Helgason [10] introduced the chromatic polynomial $P(\mathcal{H}, k)$ of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} in 1972 and the authors of this article introduced the DP color function $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k)$ of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} in [4]. In this article, we partially extend the above comparison between $P_{DP}(G, k)$ and $P(G, k)$ for graphs G to a corresponding comparison between $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k)$ and $P(\mathcal{H}, k)$ for hypergraphs \mathcal{H} .

For a hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$, a cycle C of length p in \mathcal{H} is a subhypergraph consisting of p distinct vertices v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p and p distinct edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_p such that $\{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \subseteq e_i$ for each $i \in \llbracket p \rrbracket$ (indices are taken modulo p). For any edge $e \in E$, the girth of e in \mathcal{H} , denoted by $\ell(e)$, is defined to be ∞ if \mathcal{H} has no cycles containing e , and the length of a shortest cycle C in \mathcal{H} which contains e otherwise. The girth of \mathcal{H} , denoted by $g(\mathcal{H})$, is defined to be the minimum value of $\ell(e)$ over all edges e in \mathcal{H} .

For any two functions $f(x)$ and $g(x)$, we may write $f(k) \equiv_{\infty} g(k)$ if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(k) = g(k)$ holds for all integers $k \geq N$; and $f(k) <_{\infty} g(k)$ if there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f(k) < g(k)$ holds for all integers $k \geq N$. We will study the following three problems in this article.

Problem 1. *Is it true that for any hypergraph \mathcal{H} , if $g(\mathcal{H})$ is even, then $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) <_{\infty} P(\mathcal{H}, k)$?*

Problem 2. *Is it true that for any hypergraph \mathcal{H} , if $\ell(e)$ is even for some edge e in \mathcal{H} , then $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) <_{\infty} P(\mathcal{H}, k)$?*

The *join* of two vertex-disjoint hypergraphs \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 , denoted by $\mathcal{H}_1 \vee \mathcal{H}_2$, is the hypergraph obtained from \mathcal{H}_1 and \mathcal{H}_2 by adding new edges $\{u, v\}$ for all vertices u in \mathcal{H}_1 and all vertices v in \mathcal{H}_2 .

Problem 3. *Is it true that for any hypergraph \mathcal{H} , there exist $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H} \vee K_p, k) \equiv_{\infty} P(\mathcal{H} \vee K_p, k)$?*

Theorem 6 confirms that Problem 1 has a positive answer for all linear and uniform hypergraphs, which Problem 2 and Problem 3 are partially answered by Theorems 9 and 14, respectively.

2 Background

In this article each hypergraph is nonempty, finite and simple unless otherwise noted. A hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ consists of a finite non-empty set V and a subset E of 2^V (i.e., the power set of V) with $|e| \geq 2$ for each $e \in E$. For any hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$, let $n(\mathcal{H}) = |V|$ and $m(\mathcal{H}) = |E|$. A hypergraph \mathcal{H} is *r-uniform* if $|e| = r$ for each edge $e \in E$. The *degree* $d(v)$ of a vertex $v \in V$ in \mathcal{H} is the number of edges in \mathcal{H} containing v . A hypergraph is *linear* if every pair of distinct edges intersects in at most one vertex. A *hypertree* is a connected linear hypergraph without cycles. A *unicyclic hypergraph* is a connected hypergraph containing exactly one cycle. A hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ is *complete* if $E = P(V) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ where $P(V)$ denotes the powerset of V . A subset $S \subseteq E(\mathcal{H})$ is also called a *partial hypergraph* of \mathcal{H} .

2.1 The chromatic polynomial of a hypergraph

For any positive integer k , a *proper k-coloring* of the hypergraph \mathcal{H} is a mapping $f : V(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \llbracket k \rrbracket$ such that, for every edge $e \in E(\mathcal{H})$, there exist two distinct vertices $u, v \in e$ satisfying $f(u) \neq f(v)$. The number of proper k -colorings of \mathcal{H} is a polynomial $P(\mathcal{H}, k)$ in the variable k , of degree $|V(\mathcal{H})|$ in k , called *chromatic polynomial* of \mathcal{H} .

Dohmen [5] generalized the result obtained by Birkhoff and Whitney [20] as follows.

Lemma 1 ([5]). *Let \mathcal{H} be a hypergraph, $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then*

$$P(\mathcal{H}, k) = \sum_{S \subseteq \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{m(S)} k^{n(\mathcal{H}) - n(S) + c(S)}$$

where $c(S)$ is the number of components of S .

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ and $V_0 \subset V$. The *contraction* of \mathcal{H} onto V_0 , denoted $\mathcal{H} \cdot V_0$, is obtained by identifying all vertices in V_0 into a single new vertex $v_0 \notin V$. Formally, $\mathcal{H} \cdot V_0$ is the hypergraph with vertex set $(V \setminus V_0) \cup \{v_0\}$ and edge set

$$\{e \in E : e \cap V_0 = \emptyset\} \cup \{(e \setminus V_0) \cup \{v_0\} : e \cap V_0 \neq \emptyset\}.$$

Jones [11] generalized the deletion-contraction formula for chromatic polynomials of graphs to hypergraphs.

Lemma 2 ([11]). *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph. For any $e \in E$,*

$$P(\mathcal{H}, k) = P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) - P(\mathcal{H}/e, k)$$

where $\mathcal{H} - e$ is the hypergraph $(V, E \setminus \{e\})$ and \mathcal{H}/e is obtained from \mathcal{H} by contracting edge e (i.e. the hypergraph $(\mathcal{H} - e) \cdot e$).

2.2 The DP color function of a hypergraph

Bernshteyn and Kostochka [2] introduced the DP-coloring of a hypergraph in 2019. Let $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a *partial map* φ from a subset of X to Y . $[X \rightarrow Y]$ denotes the set of all partial maps. For $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, consider a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [X \rightarrow \llbracket k \rrbracket]$ of partial maps. The domain $\text{dom}(\mathcal{F})$ of \mathcal{F} is defined by

$$\text{dom}(\mathcal{F}) := \{\text{dom}(\varphi) : \varphi \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Then each $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$ is a subset of the Cartesian product $X \times \llbracket k \rrbracket$. Thus we can view \mathcal{F} as a hypergraph on $X \times \llbracket k \rrbracket$ and view $\text{dom}(\mathcal{F})$ as a hypergraph on X . A function $f: X \rightarrow \llbracket k \rrbracket$ avoids a partial map $\varphi: X \rightarrow \llbracket k \rrbracket$ if $\varphi \not\subseteq f$. Given a family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [X \rightarrow \llbracket k \rrbracket]$ of partial maps, if a function $f: X \rightarrow \llbracket k \rrbracket$ avoids all $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}$, then it is a (k, \mathcal{F}) -coloring (or simply an \mathcal{F} -coloring).

A k -fold cover of \mathcal{H} is a family of partial maps $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [X \rightarrow \llbracket k \rrbracket]$ such that $\text{dom}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq V(\mathcal{H})$ and if $\text{dom}(\varphi) = \text{dom}(\psi)$ for distinct $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{F}$, then $\varphi \cap \psi = \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{F}_e = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{F} : \text{dom}(\varphi) = e\}$ and let $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_e = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{F} : \text{dom}(\varphi) \neq e\}$. If \mathcal{F} is a k -fold cover of \mathcal{H} , then $\mathcal{F} \setminus \mathcal{F}_e$ is a k -fold cover of $\mathcal{H} - e$. If \mathcal{F} is a k -fold cover of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} , then for each edge e in \mathcal{H}

$$|\mathcal{F}_e| = |\{\varphi \in \mathcal{F} : \text{dom}(\varphi) = e\}| \leq k.$$

If $|\mathcal{F}_e| = k$ for each $e \in E(\mathcal{H})$, then \mathcal{F} is perfect.

A hypergraph \mathcal{H} on a set X is k -DP-colorable if each k -fold cover \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{H} admits a (k, \mathcal{F}) -coloring $f: X \rightarrow \llbracket k \rrbracket$. Let \mathcal{H} be a hypergraph with $E(\mathcal{H}) = \{e_j : j \in \llbracket m \rrbracket\}$. A *natural k -cover* of \mathcal{H} is denoted by

$$\iota_{\mathcal{H}}(k) = \left\{ \varphi_i^{(j)} : i \in \llbracket k \rrbracket, j \in \llbracket m \rrbracket \right\},$$

where $\varphi_i^{(j)}$ is the mapping: $e_j \mapsto \{i\}$. If \mathcal{I} is the set of $\iota_{\mathcal{H}}(k)$ -colorings of \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{J} is the set of proper k -colorings of \mathcal{H} , then the function $f : \mathcal{J} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ given by

$$f(c) = \{(v, c(v)) : v \in V(\mathcal{H})\}$$

is a bijection between proper k -colorings and $\iota_{\mathcal{H}}(k)$ -colorings of \mathcal{H} .

For a k -fold cover \mathcal{F} of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} , let $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F})$ denote the number of \mathcal{F} -colorings of \mathcal{H} . The *DP color function*, denoted by $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k)$, is the minimum value of $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F})$ over all k -fold covers \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{H} .

It is clear that for any hypergraph \mathcal{H} and $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) \leq P(\mathcal{H}, k).$$

We end this section with two known results on upper and lower bounds of DP color functions of hypergraphs in [4].

Lemma 3 ([4]). *Let $\mathcal{H} = (X, E)$ is an r -uniform hypergraph with n vertices and m hyperedges, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) \leq k^{n-(r-1)m} (k^{r-1} - 1)^m.$$

Lemma 4 ([4]). *Suppose that \mathcal{F} is a k -fold cover of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} , where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that e is an edge in \mathcal{H} with $|e| = n_e \geq 2$ and $c(\mathcal{H} - e) = n_e - 1$. Then $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}_e$ is a k -fold cover of $\mathcal{H} - e$, where $\mathcal{F}_e = \{\varphi \in \mathcal{F} : \text{dom}(\varphi) = e\}$. If there is a bijection between \mathcal{F}' -colorings of $\mathcal{H} - e$ and proper k -colorings of $\mathcal{H} - e$, then there exists a k -fold cover \mathcal{F}^* of \mathcal{H} such that*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}) &\geq P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}^*) \\ &= \min \left\{ P(\mathcal{H}, k), \frac{(k^{n_e-1} - 1)P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) - k^{n_e-2}P(\mathcal{H}, k)}{k^{n_e-2}(k - 1)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

3 Impact by even shortest cycles

In this section, we consider the impact of the minimum cycle length and even cycle length on the DP color function of a hypergraph. We will show that for a hypergraph \mathcal{H} , $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) <_{\infty} P(\mathcal{H}, k)$ holds under any of the following conditions:

- (i) \mathcal{H} is uniform and linear, and $g(\mathcal{H})$ is even; or
- (ii) there exists an edge e in \mathcal{H} such that $c(\mathcal{H} - e) = |e| - 1$ and $\ell(e)$ is even.

3.1 When $g(\mathcal{H})$ is even

Let's first establish the following result by applying Lemma 1.

Lemma 5. *Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ be a connected linear r -uniform hypergraph with $|V| = n$ and $|E| = m$. Let $g(\mathcal{H}) = z$ and let t be the number of cycles of length z in \mathcal{H} . Then*

$$P(\mathcal{H}, k) = f(k) + (-1)^z t k^{n-z(r-1)+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{z-1} (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} k^{n-i(r-1)}, \quad (1)$$

where $f(k)$ is a polynomial in k of degree $n - z(r-1)$.

Proof: Let \mathcal{S}_0 be the set of $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ with $m(S) \leq z-1$, \mathcal{S}_1 be the set of $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ with $m(S) = z$ and S is a cycle, and \mathcal{S}_2 be the set of $S \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ with $S \notin \mathcal{S}_0 \cup \mathcal{S}_1$. By Lemma 1, we know that

$$P(\mathcal{H}, k) = \sum_{S \subseteq \mathcal{H}} (-1)^{m(S)} k^{n(\mathcal{H})-n(S)+c(S)} = \sum_{s=0}^2 \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_s} (-1)^{m(S)} k^{n(\mathcal{H})-n(S)+c(S)}. \quad (2)$$

For any $S \in \mathcal{S}_0$, we have $m(S) < z$, implying that S is acyclic. Assume that $m(S) = i$. Then $0 \leq i \leq z-1$ and $n(S) = (r-1)i + c(S)$, implying that

$$n(\mathcal{H}) - n(S) + c(S) = n - i(r-1).$$

Clearly, for $0 \leq i \leq z-1$, there are exactly $\binom{m}{i}$ elements $S \in \mathcal{S}_0$ with $m(S) = i$.

By assumption, $|\mathcal{S}_1| = t$. For any $S \in \mathcal{S}_1$, $m(S) = z$ and S is a cycle, and thus $n(S) = (r-1)z$ and $c(S) = 1$, implying that

$$n(\mathcal{H}) - n(S) + c(S) = n - (r-1)z + 1.$$

For any $S \in \mathcal{S}_2$, if $m(S) = z$, then S is not a cycle, and thus $n(S) = c(S) + (r-1)z$, implying that

$$n(\mathcal{H}) - n(S) + c(S) = n - (r-1)z.$$

For any $S \in \mathcal{S}_2$, if $m(S) \neq z$, then $m(S) > z$. In this case, it can be shown that $n(S) \geq c(S) + (r-1)z + 1$, implying that

$$n(\mathcal{H}) - n(S) + c(S) \leq n - (r-1)z - 1.$$

Thus, $f(k) = \sum_{S \in \mathcal{S}_2} (-1)^{m(S)} k^{n(\mathcal{H})-n(S)+c(S)}$ is a polynomial of degree $n - (r-1)z$.

Hence (1) follows directly from (2). \square

By applying Lemma 5, we establish the following result, which partially answers Problem 1.

Theorem 6. *Let \mathcal{H} be an r -uniform linear hypergraph. If $g(\mathcal{H})$ is even, then $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) <_\infty P(\mathcal{H}, k)$.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, let \mathcal{H} be a connected hypergraph with n vertices and m edges. By Lemma 3, we know that

$$P(\mathcal{H}, k) - P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) \geq P(\mathcal{H}, k) - k^{n-(r-1)m}(k^{r-1} - 1)^m. \quad (3)$$

Suppose that

$$P(\mathcal{H}, k) = \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i a_i k^{n-i(r-1)}$$

and t is the number of cycles in \mathcal{H} of length z . Let $z = g(\mathcal{H})$. Applying Lemma 5 and the binomial theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\mathcal{H}, k) - k^{n-(r-1)m}(k^{r-1} - 1)^m \\ = & \sum_{i=0}^{z-1} (-1)^i a_i k^{n-i(r-1)} + (-1)^z t k^{n-z(r-1)+1} + f(x) - \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} k^{n-i(r-1)} \\ = & (-1)^z t k^{n-z(r-1)+1} + f(x) - \sum_{i=z}^m (-1)^i \binom{m}{i} k^{n-i(r-1)}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $f(k)$ is introduced in Lemma 5. Clearly, $t k^{n-z(r-1)+1}$ is the dominant of the last expression in (4). Since z is even, $(-1)^z = 1$ and thus there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $k \geq N$,

$$P(\mathcal{H}, k) - k^{n-m(r-1)}(k^{r-1} - 1)^m > 0.$$

Hence, by (4), $P(\mathcal{H}, k) - P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) > 0$ holds for all $k \geq N$. \square

3.2 $\ell(e)$ is even for some edge e

Theorem 7. *Let \mathcal{H} be a hypergraph. If there exists an edge e in \mathcal{H} with $|e| = n_e \geq 2$ such that $c(\mathcal{H} - e) = n_e - 1$ and $P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) < \frac{k^{n_e-1}}{k^{n_e-1}-1} P(\mathcal{H}, k)$, then*

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) < P(\mathcal{H}, k).$$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H} - e$. There is a bijection between the \mathcal{F}' -coloring of \mathcal{H}' and its proper k -coloring. So, Lemma 4 implies that there exists an k -fold cover \mathcal{F} of \mathcal{H} such that

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}) = \min \left\{ P(\mathcal{H}, k), \frac{(k^{n_e-1} - 1)P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) - k^{n_e-2}P(\mathcal{H}, k)}{k^{n_e-2}(k - 1)} \right\}. \quad (5)$$

Since

$$P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) < \frac{k^{n_e-1}}{k^{n_e-1} - 1} P(\mathcal{H}, k),$$

it follows that

$$\frac{(k^{n_e-1} - 1)P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) - k^{n_e-2}P(\mathcal{H}, k)}{k^{n_e-2}(k - 1)} < P(\mathcal{H}, k). \quad (6)$$

By combining (5) and (6), we have

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) \leq P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}) = \frac{(k^{n_e-1} - 1)P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) - k^{n_e-2}P(\mathcal{H}, k)}{k^{n_e-2}(k - 1)} < P(\mathcal{H}, k).$$

Thus the result is deduced. \square

In order to prove the following theorem, which is a partial answer to Problem 3, we conclude the following result.

Lemma 8. *Suppose that $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ is a hypergraph and $e = \{v_i : i \in \llbracket n_e \rrbracket\}$ is an edge in \mathcal{H} with $c(\mathcal{H} - e) = n_e - 1$. Then the leading term of the polynomial $P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) - \frac{k^{n_e-1}}{k^{n_e-1} - 1} P(\mathcal{H}, k)$ is $\frac{1}{k^{n_e-1} - 1} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{E}} (-1)^{m(S)} k^{n(\mathcal{H}) - n(S) + c(S)}$, where \mathcal{E} is the set of subsets S of $E \setminus \{e\}$ such that v_1 and v_2 are in the same component of the spanning subhypergraph $\mathcal{H}\langle S \rangle$ of \mathcal{H} .*

Proof: Suppose that $v_1, v_2 \in e$ are in the same component of $\mathcal{H} - e$. Then $\mathcal{E} \neq \emptyset$.

For any $S \subseteq E \setminus \{e\}$, let $\zeta(S) = |V(S) \cap e|$ and $\eta(S) = |V(S) \cap \{v_1, v_2\}|$. If either $\zeta(S) < 2$ or $\zeta(S) \geq 2$ and $\eta(S) \leq 1$, then

$$n_{\mathcal{H}}(S) = n_{\mathcal{H}/e}(S) \text{ and } c_{\mathcal{H}}(S) = c_{\mathcal{H}/e}(S).$$

By the assumption, \mathcal{E} be the set of all subsets S of $E \setminus \{e\}$ such that v_1, v_2 are in the same component of the spanning subhypergraph $\mathcal{H}\langle S \rangle$ of \mathcal{H} . Let \mathcal{E}' be the set S of subsets of $E \setminus \{e\}$ with $S \notin \mathcal{E}$. For any $S \subseteq E \setminus \{e\}$, if $\zeta(S) \geq 2$ and $\eta(S) = 2$, then

$$\begin{cases} n_{\mathcal{H}}(S) = n_{\mathcal{H}/e}(S) + \zeta(S) - 2, & c_{\mathcal{H}}(S) = c_{\mathcal{H}/e}(S) + \zeta(S) - 1, & S \in \mathcal{E}; \\ n_{\mathcal{H}}(S) = n_{\mathcal{H}/e}(S) + \zeta(S) - 1, & c_{\mathcal{H}}(S) = c_{\mathcal{H}/e}(S) + \zeta(S) - 1, & S \in \mathcal{E}'. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) - \frac{k^{n_e-1}}{k^{n_e-1} - 1} P(\mathcal{H}, k) &= \frac{1}{k^{n_e-1} - 1} (k^{n_e-1} P(\mathcal{H}/e, k) - P(\mathcal{H} - e, k)) \\ &= \frac{1}{k^{n_e-1} - 1} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{E}} (-1)^{m(S)} k^{n(\mathcal{H}) - n(S) + c(S)}. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Theorem 9. Suppose that $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ is hypergraph. If there exists $e \in E$ with $|e| = n_e \geq 2$ such that $c(\mathcal{H} - e) = n_e - 1$ and $\ell(e)$ is even, then $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) <_\infty P(\mathcal{H}, k)$.

Proof: Let v_1 and v_2 be distinct vertices in e , and let \mathcal{E} be the set of subsets S of $E \setminus \{e\}$ such that v_1, v_2 are in the same component of the spanning subhypergraph $\mathcal{H}\langle S \rangle$ of \mathcal{H} . Let

$$A = \frac{1}{k^{n_e-1} - 1} \sum_{S \in \mathcal{E}} (-1)^{m(S)} k^{n(\mathcal{H}) - n(S) + c(S)}.$$

When $c(S)$ increases by 1, $c(S) - n(S)$ increases by at least a for some $a \geq 0$. Thus suppose that S is connected and that $m(S)$ is minimum. For any $S \in \mathcal{E}$, $S \cup \{e\}$ contains a cycle C with $e \in C$, implying that $m(S) \geq \ell(e) - 1$ and $\min_{S \in \mathcal{E}} m(S) = \ell(e) - 1$. Since $\ell(e)$ is even, $(-1)^{m(S)} = -1$ whenever $m(S) = \ell(e) - 1$, implying that $A < 0$ when k is sufficiently large.

By Lemma 8, A is the leading term in the polynomial $P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) - \frac{k^{n_e-1}}{k^{n_e-1}-1} P(\mathcal{H}, k)$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all integer $k \geq N$

$$P(\mathcal{H} - e, k) < \frac{k^{n_e-1}}{k^{n_e-1}-1} P(\mathcal{H}, k).$$

The result can be proved by Proposition 7. □

4 DP color function of $\mathcal{H} \vee K_p$

In this section we study the question whether taking the join of an arbitrary hypergraph with an appropriate clique makes the chromatic polynomial equal to the DP color function. Theorem 14 is a partial answer to Problem 3.

It is obvious that for any hypergraph \mathcal{H} and positive integers p and k ,

$$P(\mathcal{H} \vee K_p, k) = \left(\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} (k - i) \right) P(\mathcal{H}, k - p).$$

The *coloring number* of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} , denoted by $\text{col}(\mathcal{H})$, is the smallest integer d for which there exists an ordering, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n , of the elements in $V(\mathcal{H})$ such that each vertex v_i has at most $d - 1$ neighbors among v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{i-1} for $2 \leq i \leq n$. Throughout this Section, assume \mathcal{H} is a hypergraph with $\text{col}(\mathcal{H}) = d \geq 3$ and that each cover is perfect unless otherwise noted. If a cover \mathcal{F} is not a perfect, then \mathcal{F}_1 is a perfect cover by adding partial maps from \mathcal{F} . It is obvious that $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}_1) \leq P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F})$.

Let $\mathcal{H} = (V, E)$ be a hypergraph with $V = \{v_i : i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket\}$ and $E = \{e_{n+i} : i \in \llbracket m \rrbracket\}$. Let $\mathcal{M} = K_1 \vee \mathcal{H}$. Then, \mathcal{M} is the hypergraph with vertex set $V \cup \{w\}$ and edge set $E \cup \{e_i : i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket\}$, where $e_i = \{w, v_i\}$. Consider a k -fold cover

$$\mathcal{F} = \left\{ \varphi_j^{(i)}(e_i), \psi_j^{(i)}(e_{n+p}) : \varphi_j^{(i)}(w) = j, i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket, p \in \llbracket m \rrbracket, j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \right\}.$$

Let $\Phi_j = \{\varphi_j^{(i)}(w) : i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket\}$ for each $j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$. We say that Φ_j is a *level mapping* for some $j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$ if for any given $p \in \llbracket m \rrbracket$ there exists $q_p \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$ such that for each $v_i \in e_{n+p}$

$$\psi_{q_p}^{(p)}(v_i) = \varphi_j^{(i)}(v_i).$$


For each $j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$ let

$$\Psi_j = \left\{ \psi_q^{(p)}(e_{n+p}) : \forall p \in \llbracket m \rrbracket, \exists q \in \llbracket k \rrbracket \text{ with } \psi_q^{(p)}(v_i) = \varphi_j^{(i)}(v_i) \right\}$$

and let

$$\mathcal{F}_j = \mathcal{F} \setminus (\Psi_j \cup \{\varphi_j^{(i)}(e_i) : i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket\}).$$

For example, consider the 3-fold cover of $\mathcal{H} \vee K_1$ shown in Table 1, where Φ_2 is a level mapping, but Φ_1 and Φ_3 are not level mappings.



(a) $K_1 \vee \mathcal{H}$

	w	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5
$\varphi_1^{(1)}$	1	1				
$\varphi_2^{(1)}$	2	2				
$\varphi_3^{(1)}$	3	3				
$\varphi_1^{(2)}$	1		2			
$\varphi_2^{(2)}$	2		3			
$\varphi_3^{(2)}$	3		1			

	w	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4	v_5
$\varphi_1^{(3)}$	1			3		
$\varphi_2^{(3)}$	2			1		
$\varphi_3^{(3)}$	3			2		
$\varphi_1^{(4)}$	1				1	
$\varphi_2^{(4)}$	2				2	
$\varphi_3^{(4)}$	3				3	
$\varphi_1^{(5)}$	1					1
$\varphi_2^{(5)}$	2					3
$\varphi_3^{(5)}$	3					2
$\psi_1^{(1)}$		1	2	3		
$\psi_2^{(1)}$		2	3	1		
$\psi_3^{(1)}$		3	1	2		
$\psi_1^{(2)}$				1	2	3
$\psi_2^{(2)}$				3	1	2
$\psi_3^{(2)}$				2	3	1

Table 1: $\mathcal{H} \vee K_1$ and a 3-fold cover

Lemma 10. *Let \mathcal{F} is a k -fold cover of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} and let $\Phi = \{\Phi_j : j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket\}$, where $\Phi_j = \{\varphi_j^{(i)}(w) : i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket\}$ for each $j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$. If Φ has at least $k - 1$ level mappings, then there is a natural bijection between the \mathcal{F} -colorings of \mathcal{M} and the proper k -colorings of \mathcal{M} . Consequently $P_{DP}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}) = P(\mathcal{M}, k)$.*

Proof: Without loss of generality, we suppose that Φ_j are level mappings for each $j \in \llbracket k - 1 \rrbracket$. It is clear that Φ_k is also a level mapping. There exists a bijection between \mathcal{F} and the natural k -cover $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}(k)$ by putting for every $i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$, $p \in \llbracket m \rrbracket$

$$\varphi_j^{(i)}(v_i) = j, \psi_q^{(p)}(v) = q \text{ for each } j, p \in \llbracket k \rrbracket, v \in e_{n+p}.$$

Because there is a natural bijection between the $\iota_{\mathcal{M}}(k)$ -colorings of \mathcal{M} and the proper k -colorings of \mathcal{M} , there is a natural bijection between the \mathcal{F} -colorings of \mathcal{M} and the proper k -colorings of \mathcal{M} . Thus

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}) = P(\mathcal{M}, k).$$

□

Lemma 11. *For a hypergraph \mathcal{H} with $\text{col}(\mathcal{H}) = d$, let \mathcal{F} is a k -fold cover of \mathcal{H} and let $\Phi = \{\Phi_j : j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket\}$, where $\Phi_j = \{\varphi_j^{(i)}(w) : i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket\}$ for each $j \in \llbracket k \rrbracket$. If Φ contains s mappings that are not level mappings, there exists $e \in E(\mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}) \geq kP_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - 1) + s(k - d - |e|)^{n - |e|}.$$

Proof: Without loss of generality, suppose that Φ_j is not a level mapping for each $j \in \llbracket s \rrbracket$. It is easy to know that

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F}) = \sum_{j=1}^k P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}_j) \geq kP_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - 1)$$

where \mathcal{F}_j is defined in Page 10.

Let $j \in \llbracket s \rrbracket$. Then Φ_j is not a level mapping and there exists $e_{n+p} \in |E(\mathcal{H})|$ such that

$$|\psi : \text{dom}(\psi) = e_{n+p}, \psi \in \mathcal{F}_j| = l \leq k - 2.$$

For each $v_i \in e_{n+p}$, let

$$C_i = \llbracket k \rrbracket \setminus (\{\psi^{(p)}(v_i) : \psi^{(p)} \in \mathcal{F}_j\} \cup \{\varphi_t^i(v_i)\}).$$

It is clear that for each $v_i \in e_{n+p}$

$$|C_i| = k - 1 - l.$$

Obviously, we can add $k - l - 1$ partial maps with the domain e_{n+p} and denote the set of these partial maps by Θ . Let $\mathcal{F}_j^* = \mathcal{F}_j \cup \Theta$. Thus, $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}_j^*)$ is the number of \mathcal{F}_j -colorings of \mathcal{H} avoiding maps in Θ . Hence, there are at least $P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - 1)$ \mathcal{F}_t -colorings of \mathcal{H} avoiding Θ .

Suppose that v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n is an ordering of the vertices of \mathcal{H} such that v_i has at most $d - 1$ neighbors preceding it in the ordering. Consider the following ordering S of the vertices of \mathcal{H} obtained by moving the vertices in e_{n+p} to the front of the entire sequence:

$$v_{j_1}, v_{j_2}, \dots, v_{j_n}.$$

Thus, there are at least $((k - 1) - (d + |e| - 1))^{n - |e|} = (k - d - |e|)^{n - |e|}$ \mathcal{F}_j -colorings of \mathcal{H} that can color $v_i \in V(e_{n+p})$ with $\psi \in \Theta$. This immediately implies

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}_j) \geq P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - 1) + (k - d - n_e)^{n - n_e}.$$

□

It follows readily from Lemmas 10 and 11 that:

Theorem 12. *Suppose that \mathcal{H} is a hypergraph with $\text{col}(G) \geq 3$. Let n_0 be the maximum value of $|e|$ over all edges in \mathcal{H} . Then for $k \geq \text{col}(G) + n_0$,*

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{H} \vee K_1, k) \geq \min \{P(\mathcal{H} \vee K_1, k), kP_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - 1) + f(x)\}$$

where $f(x) \geq 0$. In particular, when \mathcal{H} is an r -uniform hypergraph,

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{H} \vee K_1, k) \geq \min \left\{ P(\mathcal{H} \vee K_1, k), kP_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - 1) + 2(k\text{col}(\mathcal{H}) - r)^{|V(G)| - r} \right\}.$$

Corollary 13. *Suppose that \mathcal{H} is an r -uniform hypergraph with n vertices and $\text{col}(\mathcal{H}) \geq 3$. Then for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $k \geq \text{col}(\mathcal{H}) + r + p$,*

$$P_{DP}(\mathcal{H} \vee K_p, k) \geq \min \left\{ P(\mathcal{H} \vee K_p, k), \left(\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (k - j) \right) P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - p) + f(k) \right\}$$

where $f(k)$ is a polynomial in k of degree $n - 3 + p$ with a leading coefficient of $2p$.

Proof: The proof proceeds by induction on p . The result holds for $p = 1$ by Theorem 12. Assume that the result holds for all numbers less than p ($p \geq 2$). Next consider the case p .

Suppose that $k \geq \text{col}(\mathcal{H}) + r + p$. Since $K_p \vee \mathcal{H} = K_1 \vee (K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H})$ and $\text{col}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}) \leq \text{col}(\mathcal{H}) + p - 1$, lemmas 10 and 11 imply that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{DP}(\mathcal{H} \vee K_p, k) &\geq \min \{P(\mathcal{H} \vee K_p, k), kP_{DP}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k - 1) \\ &\quad + 2(k - \text{col}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}) - n_e)^{n - n_e - 1 + p}\} \end{aligned}$$

where n_e denotes the length of a certain edge in $K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}$ and $n_e \leq r$. Then

$$(k - \text{col}(K_{p-1} + \mathcal{H}) - n_e)^{n-n_e-1+p} \geq (k - \text{col}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}) - r)^{n-r+p}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P_{DP}(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k) &\geq \min\{P(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k), kP_{DP}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1) \\ &\quad + 2(k - \text{col}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}) - r)^{n-r+p}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $k-1 \geq \text{col}(\mathcal{H}) + r-1+p$, by the inductive hypothesis it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} &P_{DP}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1) \\ &\geq \min\left\{P(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1), \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (k-j)\right) P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k-p) + f(k)\right\} \end{aligned}$$

where $f(k)$ is a polynomial in k of degree $n-r-2+p$ with a leading coefficient of $2(p-1)$.

If $P(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1) \leq \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (k-j)\right) P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k-p) + f(k)$, then we get that

$$P_{DP}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1) = P(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1).$$

This implies that

$$kP_{DP}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1) = kP(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1) = P(K_p + \mathcal{H}, k).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_{DP}(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k) &\geq \min\{P(K_p + \mathcal{H}, k), kP_{DP}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1) \\ &\quad + 2(k - \text{col}(K_{p-1} + \mathcal{H}) - r)^{n-r-1+p}\}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $P_{DP}(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k) = P(K_p + \mathcal{H}, k)$ and the result is deduced.

Otherwise

$$P(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1) > \left(\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (k-j)\right) P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k-p) + f(k).$$

It can be calculated that:

$$\begin{aligned} &kP_{DP}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}, k-1) + 2(k - \text{col}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}) - r)^{n-r-1+p} \\ &\geq k \left(\left(\prod_{j=1}^{p-1} (k-j)\right) P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k-p) + f(k) \right) + 2(k - \text{col}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}) - r)^{n-r-1+p} \\ &= \left(\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (k-j)\right) P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k-p) + kf(k) + 2(k - \text{col}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}) - r)^{n-r-1+p}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $g(k) = kf(k) + 2(k - \text{col}(K_{p-1} \vee \mathcal{H}) - r)^{n-r-1+p}$. Then $g(k)$ is a polynomial in k of degree $n - r - 1 + p$ with a leading coefficient of $2(p - 1) + 2 = 2p$. Moreover, we obtain that

$$P_{DP}(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k) \geq \min \left\{ P(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k), \left(\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (k - j) \right) P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - p) + g(k) \right\}.$$

□

Now we are going to end this section with a partial answer to Problem 3.

Theorem 14. *Suppose that \mathcal{H} is an r -uniform hypergraph on n vertices such that*

$$P(\mathcal{H}, k) - P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) = O(k^{n-r-1}) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then there exist $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_{DP}(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k) \equiv_{\infty} P(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k)$.

Proof: Since the result is trivial when $\text{col}(\mathcal{H}) \leq 2$, suppose that $\text{col}(\mathcal{H}) \geq 3$. Additionally, there exist constants $C, N_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying

$$P(\mathcal{H}, k) - P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k) \leq Ck^{n-r-1}$$

whenever $k \geq N_1$.

Fix a natural number p with $p > C/2$. Then for all $k \geq p + N_1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (k - j) \right) P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - p) &\geq \left(\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (k - j) \right) (P(\mathcal{H}, k - p) - C(k - p)^{n-3}) \\ &= P(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k) - C(k - p)^{n-r-1} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (k - j). \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 13 implies that for $k \geq \text{col}(G) + r + p$,

$$P_{DP}(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k) \geq \min \left\{ P(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k), \left(\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (k - j) \right) P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - p) + f(k) \right\} \quad (7)$$

where $f(k)$ is a polynomial in k of degree $n - r - 1 + p$ with a leading coefficient of $2p$. Because $p > C/2$, it follows that $f(k) - C(k - p)^{n-r-1} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (k - j)$ is a polynomial of degree $n - r - 1 + p$ with a positive leading coefficient. Thus there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \geq N$

$$\begin{aligned} P(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k) &\leq P(K_p \vee \mathcal{H}, k) + f(k) - C(k - p)^{n-3} \prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (k - j) \\ &\leq \left(\prod_{j=0}^{p-1} (k - j) \right) P_{DP}(\mathcal{H}, k - p) + f(k). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The theorem then follows directly from (7) and (8). □

Acknowledgment

This research is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12371340.

References

- [1] J. Becker, J. Hewitt, H. Kaul, M. Maxfield, J.A. Mudrock, D. Spivey, S. Thomason and T. Wagstrom, *The DP color function of joins and vertex-gluing of graphs*, Discrete Math. **345** (2022), no. 11, 113093.
- [2] A. Bernshteyn and A. Kostochka, *DP-colorings of hypergraphs*, Eur. J. Comb. **78** (2019), 134 – 146.
- [3] G.D. Birkhoff, *A determinant formula for the number of ways of coloring a map*, Ann. Math. **14** (1912), 42 – 46.
- [4] R.Y. Cui, L.X. Wan and F.M. Dong, *DP color functions of hypergraphs*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.14879>
- [5] K. Dohmen, *A broken-circuits-theorem for hypergraphs*, Arch. Math. **64** (1995), no. 2, 159 – 162.
- [6] F.M. Dong and Y. Yang, *DP color functions versus chromatic polynomials*, Adv. Appl. Math. **134** (2022), 102301.
- [7] F.M. Dong and M.Q. Zhang, *An improved lower bound of $P(G, L) - P(G, k)$ for k -assignments L* , J. Comb. Theory Ser. B. **161** (2023), 109 – 119.
- [8] Z. Dvořák and L. Postle, *Correspondence coloring and its application to list-coloring planar graphs without cycles of lengths 4 to 8*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B. **129** (2018), 38 – 54.
- [9] P. Erdős, A.L. Rubin and H. Taylor, *Choosability in graphs*, Congr. Numer. **26** (1979), no. 4, 125 – 157.
- [10] T. Helgason, *Aspects of the theory of hypermatroids*[C]// Hypergraph Seminar: Ohio State University 1972. Springer, 2006, 191 – 213.
- [11] R.P. Jones *Some results of chromatic hypergraph theory proved by “reduction to graphs”*, Colloq. CNRS. Probl. Comb. Théor. Gr., **260** (1976), 249-250.

- [12] H. Kaul and J.A. Mudrock, *On the chromatic polynomial and counting DP-colorings of graphs*, Adv. Appl. Math. **123** (2021), 102131.
- [13] A.V. Kostochka and A.F. Sidorenko, *Problem session of the prachatice conference on graph theory, in: Fourth Czechoslovak Symposium on Combinatorics, Graphs and Complexity in: Ann. Discrete Math.* **51** (1992), 380.
- [14] R.R. Liu, S. Loeb, Y.X. Yin and G.X. Yu, *DP-3-coloring of some planar graphs*, Discrete Math. **342** (2019), no. 1, 178 – 189.
- [15] M. Molloy and L. Postle, *Asymptotically good edge correspondence colourings*, J. Graph Theory. **100** (2022), no. 3, 559 – 577.
- [16] J.A. Mudrock, *A deletion–contraction relation for the DP color function*, Graphs Comb. **38** (2022), no. 4, 115.
- [17] J.A. Mudrock and S. Thomason, *Answers to two questions on the DP color function*, Electron. J. Comb. **28** (2021), no. 2, #P2.24.
- [18] C. Thomassen, *The chromatic polynomial and list colorings*, J. Comb. Theory Ser. B. **99** (2009), 474 – 479.
- [19] V.G. Vizing, *Coloring the vertices of a graph in prescribed colors*, Diskret. Analiz. **29** (1976), no. 3, 10.
- [20] H. Whitney, *A logical expansion in mathematics*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **38** (1932), no. 8, 572 – 579.
- [21] M.Q. Zhang, F.M. Dong, *DP color functions versus chromatic polynomials (II)*, J. Graph Theory. **103** (2023), 740 – 761.